EXHIBIT G

CASE 0:18-cv-01776-JRT-JFD Doc. 926-7 Filed 08/31/21 Page 2 of 2



Canadian Pacific Plaza Suite 2050 120 South Sixth Street Minneapolis, MN 55402 Kathryn Stephens (612) 573-3661 FAX (612) 330-0959 kstephens@rockhutchinson.com

July 16, 2021

VIA E-MAIL

Joe Bourne Lockridge Grindal Nauen PLLP 100 Washington Ave. S. #2200 Minneapolis, MN 55401-2179 smowen@locklaw.com

Re: Case No. 18-CV-01776-JRT-HB, In re Pork Antitrust Litigation

Dear Mr. Bourne:

Thank you for your time on the phone this morning; however, we were surprised and disappointed by your unwillingness to negotiate or compromise in any way as to the scope and proposed method for the cell phone subpoenas issued to our clients.

As we understand your current position, Plaintiffs are (1) refusing to identify any sub-set of the broad spectrum of our thirty individual clients who might be most likely to have potentially relevant communications in their current cell phones as a starting point for any further discovery; (2) refusing to provide the justification or basis for inclusion of all thirty of our clients; and (3) unwilling to narrow the extremely broad and inclusive list of proposed search terms beyond your initial proposal.

If our understanding is incorrect, please advise.

Sincerely,

Kathy Stephens

cc: Stephen Owen John Rock